## Application No. Applicant(s) MIYAKE, YOSHIHIRO 10/588.770 Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit THOMAS H. STEVENS 2121 All Participants: Status of Application: \_\_\_\_ (1) THOMAS H. STEVENS. (3) \_\_\_\_\_. (2) Dennis Hubbs. (4) \_\_\_\_\_ Date of Interview: 10 May 2010 Time: various times Type of Interview: ☐ Video Confe Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) ⊠ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: 1.14.21 Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The dates of the interview were conducted during the week of May 10, 2010. Discussed antecedent issues i.e., sychronization limitation as well as amending the 21's preamble to reflect a computer readable medium. After these issues were agreed to the Office requested a formal supplemental amendment. Part III. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A bnef summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thomas H. Stevens/ Acting Examiner of Art Unit 2121

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)